[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

JUN 23 2017 45

Freddie Herndon	THOMAS G. BRUTON CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff(s),	
vs. * Casaccio Brothers, Inc. DBH Learnington Foods * Matanky Realty	17cv4732 Judge Edmond E. Chang Magistrate Judge Young B. Kim
* BR Launcisle blass, MC	
Defendant(s).	

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

This form complaint is designed to help you, as a pro se plaintiff, state your case in a clear manner. Please read the directions and the numbered paragraphs carefully. Some paragraphs may not apply to you. You may cross out paragraphs that do not apply to you. All references to "plaintiff" and "defendant" are stated in the singular but will apply to more than one plaintiff or defendant if that is the nature of the case.

- 1. This is a claim for violation of plaintiff's civil rights as protected by the Constitution and laws of the United States under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, and 1986.
- 2. The court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 1367.
- 3. Plaintiff's full name is Freddie Herndon 6-23-17

If there are additional plaintiffs, fill in the above information as to the first-named plaintiff and complete the information for each additional plaintiff on an extra sheet.

Case: 1:17-cv-04732 Document #: 1 Filed: 06/23/17 Page 2 of 11 PageID #:2 [If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

	. Detendant,	
	Defendant,, is, is	
	□ an officer or official employed by;	
	(department or agency of government)or	
	☐ an individual not employed by a governmental entity.	
	ere are additional defendants, fill in the ab ove information as to the first-named and and complete the information for each additional defendant on an extra sheet.	
5.	The municipality, township or county under whose authority defendant officer or official	
	acted is As to plaintiff's federal	
	constitutional claims, the municipality, township or county is a defendant only if	
	custom or policy allegations are made at paragraph 7 below.	
6.	On or about, at approximately \(\text{\sigma}\) a.m. \(\text{\sigma}\) p.m. plaintiff was present in the municipality (or unincorporated area) of	
	, in the County of,	
	State of Illinois, at,	
	(identify location as precisely as possible)	
	when defendant violated plaintiff's civil rights as follows (Place X in each box that applies):	
	 □ arrested or seized plaintiff without probable cause to believe that plaintiff had committed, was committing or was about to commit a crime; □ searched plaintiff or his property without a warrant and without reasonable cause; □ used excessive force upon plaintiff; 	
	failed to intervene to protect plaintiff from violation of plaintiff's civil rights by one or more other defendants; failed to provide plaintiff with needed medical care; conspired together to violate one or more of plaintiff's civil rights; Other: Corress ancholes grocery shorts as a public accorr	modation
	carered by the ACT 42 U.S. C. section 12181. The	anver
	of the business property manager, and owner of the same failing to make this property accessible; egregious one of the most important parts of the American DACT is Title III. I known as the Public Accommon services Operated by Private Entitles. 42 U.S. C. [If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]	sly viola Isala litti Llations - Section

Case: 1:17-cv-04732 Document #: 1 Filed: 06/23/17 Page 3 of 11 PageID #:3 [If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

4.	Defenda	ant,	
		nant,, is, is, is, is, is, is, is, is, is	
	□ an off	ficer or official employed by;	
		(department or agency of government)	
		or	
	☐ an ind	dividual not employed by a governmental entity.	
		ditional defendants, fill in the above information as to the first-named complete the information for each additional defendant on an extra sheet.	
5.	The mun	nicipality, township or county under whose authority defendant officer or official	
	acted is_	. As to plaintiff's federal	
	constitut	tional claims, the municipality, township or county is a defendant only if	
	custom o	or policy allegations are made at paragraph 7 below.	
6.	On or ab	out, at approximately \(\sigma \text{a.m.} \sqrt{p.m.}\)	
		(month,day, year) was present in the municipality (or unincorporated area) of	
		, in the County of,	
	State of I	Illinois, at,	
		(identify location as precisely as possible)	
	when def applies):	fendant violated plaintiff's civil rights as follows (<i>Place X in each box that</i>	
		arrested or seized plaintiff without probable cause to believe that plaintiff had committed, was committing or was about to commit a crime;	
	□ se	earched plaintiff or his property without a warrant and without reasonable cause;	
		ised excessive force upon plaintiff; ailed to intervene to protect plaintiff from violation of plaintiff's civil rights by	
	OI	one or more other defendants;	
		ailed to provide plaintiff with needed medical care;	
	□ 0	onspired together to violate one or more of plaintiff's civil rights; Other: Congress includes grocery stores as a public accor	nmodation
	_(conspired together to violate one or more of plaintiff's civil rights; Other: Congress includes grocery stores as a public accordance of the Congress includes grocery stores as a public accordance of the Congress includes grocery stores as a public accordance of the Congress includes grocery stores as a public accordance of the Congress includes grocery stores as a public accordance of the Congress includes grocery stores as a public accordance of the Congress includes grocery stores as a public accordance of the Congress includes grocery stores as a public accordance of the Congress includes grocery stores as a public accordance of the Congress as a public accordance of the Congress and the Congress as a public accordance of the Congress and the Congress as a public accordance of the Congress and the Congress as a public accordance of the Congress and the Congress as a public accordance of the Congress and the Congress as a public accordance of the Congress and the Congress an	s anvec
		of the business property manager, and owner of sure failing to make this property accessible; egregion one of the most important parts of the American factory. Title III, 2 Known as the Rubble Accom	the popular
	[16	relates charges and littles compress	
	[it you nee	ed additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]	

Case: 1:17-cv-04732 Document #: 1 Filed: 06/23/17 Page 4 of 11 PageID #:4 [If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

Defendant officer or official acted pursuant to a custom or policy of defendant
municipality, county or township, which custom or policy is the following: (Leave blank
if no custom or policy is alleged):
Plaintiff was charged with one or more crimes, specifically:
,
:
(Place an X in the box that applies. If none applies, you may describe the criminal proceedings under "Other") The criminal proceedings
□ are still pending.
□ were terminated in favor of plaintiff in a manner indicating plaintiff was innocent.¹
☐ Plaintiff was found guilty of one or more charges because defendant deprived me of a
fair trial as follows

¹Examples of termination in favor of the plaintiff in a manner indicating plaintiff was innocent may include a judgment of not guilty, reversal of a conviction on direct appeal, expungement of the conviction, a voluntary dismissal (SOL) by the prosecutor, or a nolle prosequi order.

Case: 1:17-cv-04732 Document #: 1 Filed: 06/23/17 Page 5 of 11 PageID #:5 [If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

Please	see :	2-Hachmen	+ 10			
						•
×				- 0		-
						-
						-

						a)
						
						et.
	***************************************	0				c
Defendant ac	ted knowingly	y, intentionally, v	villfull y and ma	liciously		
				,		
_		conduct, plaintiff	5			
Klantitt	has no	t been ab	le to evi	ay the	benefits,	
priviledo	es and c	conditions t	nat exter	ds to cus	stamers with	ha
					, economically	
•			lawful de	•		

11.

12.

13.

Case: 1:17-cv-04732 Document #: 1 Filed: 06/23/17 Page 6 of 11 PageID #:6

[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

14.	Plaintiff also claims violation of rights that may be protected by the laws of Illinois, such
	as false arrest, assault, battery, false imprisonment, malicious prosecution, conspiracy,
	and/or any other claim that may be supported by the allegations of this complaint.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff asks for the following relief:

- A. Damages to compensate for all bodily harm, emotional harm, pain and suffering, loss of income, loss of enjoyment of life, property damage and any other injuries inflicted by defendant;
- B.

 (Place X in box if you are seeking punitive damages.) Punitive damages against the individual defendant; and
- C. Such injunctive, declaratory, or other relief as may be appropriate, including attorney's fees and reasonable expenses as authorized by 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

 Plaintiff's signature:

 Plaintiff's name (print clearly or type): Freddie Herndon

 Plaintiff's mailing address: 4522 W. Jackson Blvd

 City Chicago State II ZIP 60624

 Plaintiff's telephone number: (312) 597-9511

Freddie herndon 283 egmail.com

Plaintiff's email address (if you prefer to be contacted by email):

15. Plaintiff has previously filed a case in this district. □ Yes 🛪 No

If yes, please list the cases below.

Any additional plaintiffs must sign the complaint and provide the same information as the first plaintiff. An additional signature page may be added.



Defendants are involved with offering public accommodations at a property in Chicago, Illinois, located at 3240 West Roosevelt Road, known as Leamington Foods. For approximately one year and as late as June 8, Defendants denied Mr. Herndon the access, use, enjoyment of the benefits, extended to all other grocery customers. When Plaintiff was able to gain entry a week later, he had to wait outside for 20-25 minutes to gain entry from a manager or security guard and another 20-25 minutes to exit after completing his purchase. The majority of the times, the Plaintiff has to pay others \$5-\$7 to shop for him when he does not have the energy to deal with the complexities associated with gaining access to Leamington Foods.

Parties

Plaintiff Freddie Herndon is a 51-year-old disabled African – American man who resides on the west side of Chicago, approximately a mile from Leamington Foods. The plaintiff was a victim of a drive by shooting over 30 years ago that has left him wheel chair bound as a result, he battles many health issues daily.

Defendant Casaccio Brothers, Inc. an Illinois Corporation own Leamington Foods. They own and operate approximately three full service grocery stores in the Chicago land area.

Defendant Matanky Realty has been in the real estate business since 1955. Their real estate services include but are not limited to brokerage, leasing, retail consulting, management, and development.

Defendant Br Lawndale Plaza, LLC purchased 3240 West Roosevelt Road for \$12,666,666.00, it was last recorded in 2013. This information was obtained from Beenverified.com.

FACTS

On July 26, 1990, Congress enacted the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. section 12101, et seq., establishing the most important civil rights law for persons with disabilities in our country's history.

Congress gave commercial businesses one and half years to implement the Act. The effective date was January 26, 1992.

Mr. Herndon lives on the west side of Chicago at 4522 West Jackson Blvd and does his major grocery shopping at Leamington Foods located at 3240 West Roosevelt Road. He use to shop at the Leamington Foods located at 5467 West Madison Street but was robbed on two occasions so he no longer shops at that location.

Mr. Herndon recalls having as many as ten or more conversations with the Leamington Foods staff and/or security regarding the in accessibility of the store.

Approximately one and a half year ago, Leamington Foods installed concrete pillars that make it impossible for one utilizing a wheel chair/and or a walker to enter the grocery store.

Currently there is not a ramp in front of Leamington Foods, on the few occasions, Mr. Herndon was able to enter Leamington Foods, and he had to use the ramp of the neighboring store, Foot Action. EXHIBIT A

Jimmy, the head of security, shared with Mr. Herndon the pillars were installed in an effort to prevent grocery cart theft.

Mr. Herndon was taken aback by the lack of awareness of his difficulties in accessing Leamington Foods. Having to wait 20-25 minutes to enter and leave is completely unacceptable. Mr. Herndon has cried on numerous occasions regarding his experience at Leamington Foods. EXHIBIT B

Mr. Herndon only form of income is Supplemental Security Income "SSI". Leamington Foods has put tremendous pressure on Mr. Herndon's financial situation. Being forced to pay \$5-\$7 to personal shoppers, is unfair and completely unaffordable to Mr. Herndon.

Defendants treated Plaintiff and other disabled customers differently in a place of public accommodation because of Mr. Herndon's disability. Defendants denied Mr. Herndon the use and enjoyment of the benefits, privileges and conditions that it extends to all other customers.



As a result of the Defendant's unlawful actions as described above, Plaintiff Freddie Herndon has suffered emotionally and economically. The humiliation, embarrassment, emotional distress and unlawful deprivation of his federally protected rights have prompted this lawsuit.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff respectfully pray that the Court grant the following relief:

Defendants install curb ramps in front of Leamington Foods per state and federal law.

Defendants provide a thorough and exterior evaluation by a certified accessibility expert that will identify other barriers that impede access to Leamington Foods by people with physical disabilities.

Defendants provide sensitivity training for all employees on the American Disability Act.

Defendants agree to pay all legal and professional fees associated with this complaint

The barriers identified above are only those that the plaintiff personally encountered. Plaintiff is presently unaware of other barriers that may in fact exist at property and relate to Mr. Herndon's disability. Plaintiff will seek to amend this complaint once such additional barriers are identified, as it is plaintiff's intention to have all barriers that exist that relate to Mr. Herndon's disabilities removed to afford him full and equal access.

Case: 1:17-cv-04732 Document #: 1 Filed: 06/23/17 Page 10 of 11 PageID #:10

Exhibit (A)





Exhibit
B